#### UTS \$\$.

# Linking long-term material demand scenarios to regional land use change for mining

UTS CRICOS 00099F

Stephen Northey, Institute for Sustainable Futures, UTS Laura Sonter, University of Queensland Damien Giurco, UTS



### Mineral resources have differing spatial distributions





### And face differing regional environmental contexts





Northey *et al.*, 2017. Global Environmental Change 44: 109-124. Sonter *et al.*, 2018. Proc. R. Soc. B. 285: 20181926.



# Mineral resources are situated in regions with differing water risks,





## Across varied ecological biomes



UTS



### Within conservation areas





## And across differing climates.





# We know that the climate will change in regions hosting mineral resources



Nickel





# And that exposure differs for specific deposit types.



# Our understanding of land transformations associated with mining is better than ever

UTS



Werner *et al.*, 2020. Global Environmental Change 60: 102007.

## However, there's still a lot we don't know

## Especially about the future...



# Our understanding of future mineral demand is improving



Dominish *et al.*, 2021. Reducing mining for electric vehicle battery metals: responsible sourcing through demand reduction strategies and recycling.

#### UTS



# But our understanding of future regional mineral supply is limited

#### Existing models poorly capture:

- Brownfield Expansion
- Greenfield exploration and regional likelihood of discovery
- Mineral co-production
- Production scheduling & resource valuation
- Regional likelihoods of discovery
- Changing regional enablers and constraints for resource development

Example model with the illusion of good regional predictive capability



UTS

We still don't know much about future land transformations of mining

Where will they occur?

When will they occur?

What impacts will they have?

## There must be a better way...



### We have rich data regarding known resources



Mudd and Jowitt, 2018. Economic Geology 113(6): 1235-1267.



# But we are still only scratching the surface in terms of mineral exploration





# We can determine the likely characteristics of undiscovered resources



Gerst, 2008. Economic Geology, 103: 615-628. Singer, 2013. Ore Geology Reviews 55: 80-86.



# We can evaluate where undiscovered deposits might be hiding



UTS



Singer, 2018. Ore Geology Reviews 99: 235-243. Prospectivity Map of Tholeitic Intrusion-Hosted Ni-Cu-PGE deposits. portal.ga.gov.au



### We can estimate the potential costs of extraction



Net Present-day Value (AU \$M)



Walsh et al., 2020. Resources Policy 66: 101598.



### And the potential rate of extraction



**Derived Taylor's Rule Functions for Copper Resources** 



#### Primary, Exploration Mining and Mineral Supply Scenario (PEMMSS) model

- Transparent, open source model being developed in python
- Aim is to leverage big, dumb data and turn it into concise, smart data Recognising that all models are wrong, some are useful.
- Scenarios generated will improve regional understanding of future natural resource burdens of mining such as water consumption and land-use change impacts

Developed in collaboration with Stefan Pauliuk (Uni. of Freiburg), Stefanie Klose (Uni. of Freiburg) and Mohan Yellishetty (Monash University)







### For further information:

stephen.northey@uts.edu.au

**Topics touched on:** Spatial distribution of mineral resources Regional Water and Climate Risks Mining Land Use Change Mineral resource datasets Advanced Scenario Modelling